

**From:** [Moore, Gary](#)  
**To:** [Mayer, Richard](#)  
**Subject:** RE: Status of F.J. Doyle Site  
**Date:** Thursday, November 30, 2017 8:54:10 PM

---

Rich:

I wanted to know why ENFORCEMENT was not done when the facility was operating to force them to do a cleanup. Money would not have been an issue as it would have been done by the Company. Of course, they may have not had any money but I don't think any enforcement was ever done.

Thanks  
Gary Moore

---

**From:** Mayer, Richard  
**Sent:** Wednesday, November 29, 2017 11:01 AM  
**To:** Moore, Gary <[Moore.Gary@epa.gov](mailto:Moore.Gary@epa.gov)>  
**Subject:** RE: Status of F.J. Doyle Site

Thanks Gary for the update. It's a long story- is the answer to your question. Bottom line – no money.

---

**From:** Moore, Gary  
**Sent:** Wednesday, November 29, 2017 10:20 AM  
**To:** Mayer, Richard <[mayer.richard@epa.gov](mailto:mayer.richard@epa.gov)>  
**Subject:** RE: Status of F.J. Doyle Site

Richard:

Prior to Harvey, I had issued a TDD to the START Contractor to consolidate the data and put it in a flexviewer and begin the process of getting parcel information to begin access. We have not yet viewed all the data and developed a sampling plan. I need to get back on it fairly soon.

Do we know why nothing was done previously when the facility was operating to make the company do a cleanup for the contamination created both on and offsite?

Thanks  
Gary Moore

---

**From:** Mayer, Richard  
**Sent:** Wednesday, November 29, 2017 10:07 AM  
**To:** Moore, Gary <[Moore.Gary@epa.gov](mailto:Moore.Gary@epa.gov)>  
**Subject:** Status of F.J. Doyle Site

Gary, Laurie King was interested in the status of the F.J. Doyle site in Leonard, TX. I know Greg went

out to the site a couple of months ago. Any actions planned? Thanks.